Submission No.	081
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter	Edward Kelly and Joyce MacRedmond

Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response		
Re: Railway	e: Railway METRO - Charlemont/Dartmouth Square Terminus. Case NO NA 29N.314724					
1	Letter	1	We the undersigned support the submission being made by McCabe Durney Barnes on behalf of the Charlemont / Dartmouth Square community and respectfully ask you to consider as follows:	TII have reviewed your submission and responded to the observations raised below. TII can also confirm they have responded to all other submissions received from An Bord Pleanála.		
2	1. A Misplaced Terminus	1	If you were building a cross city Metro and were asked to find a centre-city location for a Terminus, would you not want to actually put it in the city centre? How about in the middle of a historical residential suburb away from the city centre with little or no access to other transport services? Welcome to Charlemont.	TII Rationale for the Proposed Charlemont Station Till have carefully considered where the best location is for a southern interchange with MetroUnix, and for the reasons set out below, the preferred location is a station at Charlemont.  The Board is required to have regard to the likely consequences for proper planning and sustainable development in the area in which it is proposed to carry out railway works (section 43(1) of the 2001 Act) and as such the following matters are relevant.  The connection from St Stephens Green to Charlemont / Ranelagh is supported by the previous Transport Strategy for Greater Dublin Area (2012-2021).  The Transport Strategies were prepared by the National Transport Authority, scrutinised by the Joint Oireachtas Committee on Transport and approved by the Minister for Transport. It notes in section 12.3.2, "Charlemont offers the optimal location for the primary interchange with the Green line in response to growing demand in the longer term and is an appropriate location to facilitate any potential future metro extensions to serve the south west, south or south east of the city region should sufficient demand arise."  The Transport Strategy is "a consideration material in the proper planning and sustainable development of the area or areas in question." Development Plans are required to be consistent with the Transport Strategy. The Dublin City Development Plans 2022-2028 envisages this station at Charlemon in policy SMT2." To support the the spedictions delivery of key sustainable transport projects so as to provide an integrated public transport network with efficient interchange between transport modes, serving the existing and future needs of the city and region and to support the integration of existing public transport modes, serving the existing and future needs of the city and region and to support the integration of existing public transport modes, serving the existing and future needs of the city and region and to a support support of the section of the section of the t		

Submission No.	081
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter	Edward Kelly and Joyce MacRedmond

Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response
Re: Railway	METRO - Charl	emont/Dai	rtmouth Square Terminus. Case NO NA 29N.314724	
3	2. €650m for a 1km tunnel	1	Having determined that a centre-city Terminus would actually be better placed in the city centre, such as St Stephens Green or O'Connell Street, how would you feel about spending 6550m of tax payer's money on a lk tunnel to a suburb that already had a fully functioning train station and train line? Does that not appear like duplication? Welcome to Charlemont.	The Board is not responsible for any decisions in relation to the funding the Project. It is solely responsible for assessing whether the Project is consistent with proper planning and sustainable development and that its effects on the environment are acceptable.  The responsibility for funding the Project lies with the NTA, the Government and ultimately the Oireachtas. It has received all necessary approvals, including under the Public Spending Code for the making of a Railway Order. It will undergo further scrutiny and approvals, including under the Public Spending Code, before it is funded. It is not appropriate for the Board to make indings in relation to value-for-money that are outside its statutory functions and would cut across those arrangements. Members of the public are entitled to make representations to their TDs in relation to the value-for-money of any element of the Project.  In any event, Til do not agree with this statement for the reasons set out by response (2) above, noting that infrastructure is not being duplicated given the capacity of the Luas south from St. Stephen's Green is restricted due to on-street running.  There is a limit to the potential of the Luas to provide additional capacity in the on-street non-segregated section of the Luas Green Line from Charlemont northwards through the city centre. The nature of this route and the fact that it currently crosses several road junctions (Adelaide Road, Harcourt Street / Hatch Street upper and Harcourt Street / St Stephen's Green south) limit the service to a maximum of 24 trans per hour per direction. The projected demand for this section would require a higher frequency of up to 30 trans per hour and this demand cannot be met with on-street systems (Luas / bus). The interchange between Luas and MetroLink proposed at Charlemont will provide the necessary capacity to address the demand on this section would require a higher frequency of up to 30 trans per hour and this demand cannot be met with on-street systems (Luas / bus). The inter
4	3. Planning permission for the Terminus box?	1	Following the correct procedure, was the rail order to build the Metro infrastructure already in place when Hines built the Terminus' foundations at Charlemont for NTA? Eh, no. Was there a proper environmental impact study conducted before they proceded? Eh, no. Was there a comparative study of alternative Terminus locations? Eh, No. Welcome to Charlemont.  As you are aware, we already have a train station and a train track that goes through Charlemont and Dartmouth Rd and which passes down the end of our garden. It works well. So the question is, why replace something that is working well (the eventual plan and rationale for a Terminus in Charlemont) when there are other areas of the city that have no transport links to the city or airport and that are crying out for it?	The MetroLink enabling works constructed as part of the Hines development was included in the planning application for the Hines Development and has the benefit of planning permission which was granted in April 2019.  It is not correct to say that alternative end of line transport interchange locations were not considered. A number of route options were considered in the process of identifying the Emerging Preferred Route (EPR).  The station box location was not fixed by the Charlemont Development. The preferred route for MetroLink was published in March 2019 following a comprehensive route options study. The preferred route was based on the emerging preferred route for the scheme which included a station at Charlemont. The Charlemont Metro Enabling Works were constructed to enable the Charlemont Development to proceed whilst simultaneously ensuring there was an option available to construct a station at Charlemont that avoided unnecessary demolition, took advantage of an available site, provided infrastructure that is integrated with planned development rather than necessitating later changes and retrospective adjustments to a new development or even possible demolition of the new development, whilst providing protected provision for the future extension of the scheme south, if required.  It is also important to recognise that the station location at Charlemont is influenced by available vacant land and thus avoids unnecessary demolition.  Responses (2) and (3) above explain the reasons a station at Charlemont is proposed and that there is no plan to replace the existing Luas Green Line infrastructure.

Submission No.			081					
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter		ne of	Edward Kelly and Joyce MacRedmond					
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response				
	Re: Railway METRO - Charlemont/Dartmouth Square Terminus. Case NO NA 29N.314724							
5	Letter	2	The adverse effects on the local area; traffic, noise, closure of Dartmouth Road for 8 1/2 years, disturbance from a 24/7 station in a quiet residential suburb, car/taxis dropping off people day and night with no where to park or drop off, well that's just something we'll have to deal with. Before all that happens, though, our request is this;	Till have assessed the impacts of traffic and noise, both for the construction and operational phase, summarised below. Till would also note that construction will generally not take place 24/7, with the exception of some limited below ground sprayed concrete tunnelling works. The proposed working hours for the construction phase of the proposed Project (as per section 5.2.4 of Chapter 5 of the EIAR) will be for all above ground works to progress Monday to Friday 07.00 to 13/00 and Saturday 07.00 to 13/0. There will be a limited period of 24/7 working below ground to enable the construction of the sprayed concrete lined tunnel, with the associated above ground support activities enclosed within a sound insulated acoustic enclosure as discussed in Section 13.6.1.2.4 of the EIAR.  **Traffic**  The MetroLink is designed to form part of an integrated public transport network with Charlemont selected as the preferred interchange location in order to maximise the potential interchange with the existing Luas Green Line. In overall terms, Charlemont Station will provide for improvements to the public transport network resulting in decreases in private car usage/trips, increases in public transport usages and will facilitate walking and cycling to the station, without significantly impacting on the operation of the road network in the area.  **Traffic-Construction Phase**  EIAR Appendix A9.5 Scheme Traffic Management Plan presents the analysis undertaken to assess the impact of the traffic management measures on the local road network surrounding the proposed Charlemont Station during the construction phase. At the local level the following parameters have been used to assess impacts on general traffic and on pedestrians:  • Increase in walking distance/quality of service for pedestrians (through removal of footpath, reduction of quality of service, removal of a pedestrian crossing or relocation of crossing by more than 100m);  • Increase in driver delays at junctions;  • Changes in traffic flows on surrounding streets;				
				Traffic - Operational Phase:  A microsimulation VisWalk model has been developed for the immediate area surrounding Charlemont Station during the operational phase. The model covers the full extent of the publicly accessible station area, including the immediate vicinity of the station entrance at street level, the Luas stop and nearby junctions at Charlemont Bridge. In order to accommodate the forecast demand from the proposed Charlemont Station, a new staircase with 2.4m stair width is proposed at the south east corner of Charlemont Luas stop. An elevator will also be provided at this location. Both are sized for MetroLink to Luas, and Luas to MetroLink passenger numbers. Please refer to Chapter 9: Traffic & Transport, Appendix A9.2-B Traffic and Transport Assessment Charlemont Station, section 6.1.3. Pedestrian Impact Assessment.  In addition, it is proposed that the pedestrian crossing on R111 Grand Parade will be repositioned to the front of the building being developed by Hines. With this infrastructure in place, the model indicates that the R111 Grand Parade will have an acceptable level of				
				service overall, with some reductions in service seen at the pedestrian crossing where pedestrians are required to wait for a green phase at the signals. Overall, it is considered that the model displays an acceptable level of network performance. Chapter 9: Traffic & Transport, Appendix A9.2-B Traffic and Transport Assessment Charlemont Station, section 6.1.3. Pedestrian Impact Assessment refers.  The proposed pedestrian crossing on Grand Parade will have minimal impact on the traffic flow along Grand Parade and can be programmed to operate in sync with the existing signalised junction at Grand Parade /Charlemont Street to maintain the flow of traffic movements. When the Project is operational, car mode share will decrease, with a reduction of up to approximately 830 car tips to and from the zones surrounding Charlemont Station over the 12hr period in 2065. In overall terms, the Charlemont Station will provide for improvements to the public transport network resulting in decreases in private car usage/trips, increases in public transport usages and will facilitate walking and cycling to the station, without significantly impacting on the operation of the road network in the area. Chapter 9: Traffic & transport, Appendix A9.2-B Traffic and Transport Assessment Charlemont Station, Section 6.1.2. refers.				

Submission No.			081		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Edward Kelly and Joyce MacRedmond		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	METRO - Charl	emont/Da	rtmouth Square Terminus. Case NO NA 29N.314724		
			Response (5) continued	Furthermore, TII have deliberately designed the Station with minimum set down space (with the exception of a drop-off on Grand Parade for persons of restricted mobility only) or room for taxi ranks so that it does not encourage the Station to be used as a terminus.  Noise  Noise  The EIAR presents a comprehensive and detailed assessment of both groundborne and airborne noise and vibration in Chapter 13 and 14 of the EIAR. The assessments include for predictive modelling in order to identify the potential impacts on all sensitive receptors during both the construction phase and the operational phase.  Noise and disturbance during construction:  No profound impacts have been identified for residents during construction of the station and mitigation measures proposed will be effective at reducing the impacts so ntees properties and in general terms impacts will be associated with the construction phase only. Significant mitigation is proposed to include 4m high noise barriers and further proposed mitigation in line with the Airborne and construction of these measures the residual impacts are predicted to be moderate. However, as outlined in Transport infrastructure lended (TII) Airborne and Groundborne Noise Mitigation Policy (Appendix AL4) there is a process in place whereby further mitigation measures can be implemented at individual properties should this be merited.  Chapter 14 of the EIAR assesses groundborne noise and vibration, with EIAR Appendix AL4.5 Groundborne Noise and Vibration and Blasting Modeling Results presenting predicted groundborne noise and vibration levels during below ground construction. During TBM passage the predicted level of groundborne noise will be above the 45 dB LASmax threshold for a number of receptors above the runnel alignment, resulting in a significant impact on the building occupants during the relatively short. Zweek duration of the TBM passage at a given location. The predicted vibration levels for TBM passage are well below human response thresholds during both day and ni	
6	Letter	2	(a) Ask the NTA/TII to lay out the assumptions underpinning the last part of their Metro plan from Tara St to Charlemont. Reflect on whether this really is the best option for a centre-city Terminus (even though its not in the city-centre) and inquire further when they tell you that St Stephen's Green (which is in the city-centre or close to) won't work because according to the independent engineers there is 'no technical reason why they can't use St Stephen's Green' and further that 'it seems like the most obvious place to put it'.	As identified in response to item 2 above a detailed and robust assessment has already been undertaken that has identified Charlemont as the preferred terminus/interchange location.	

Submission No.			081	
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter		e of	Edward Kelly and Joyce MacRedmond	
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response
Re: Railway METRO - Charlemont/Dartmouth Square Terminus. Case NO NA 29N.314724				
7	Letter	2	(b) In addition, in the interests of due process, transparency and adherence to the normal planning procedures that we are subject too and assume others are as well, can you satisfy yourselves that Hines, acting on behalf of the NTA, had permission to build the foundations for a Metro station before NTA/TII had (a) permission from the minister for transport, (b) a budget from the department of transport and or (c) rail order approval? Is there, for instance, any worldview in which a Metro station, particularly one as important as a Metro Terminus, could not be considered part of a rail order that has yet to be approved?	planning application for the Hines Development and has the benefit of planning permission which was granted in April 2019, whilst noting